

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/514,407	06/09/2005	Kazuhiko Tsukanome	KYFQ-1 US	4104	
24222 Vern Maine &	7590 06/24/200 Associates	EXAMINER			
100 MAIN ST	REET	PASCUA, JES F			
P O BOX 344: NASHUA, NE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3782		
			MAIL DATE 06/24/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/514,407	TSUKANOME ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jes F. Pascua	3782	

	Jes F. Pascua	3782				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS .						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	will <u>not</u> be entered be E below);	cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 11-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-8 and 15-20</u> .  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. [] Ottlet						
	/les E Deseus/					

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3782

Application No. 10/514,407

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In proposed claims 21 and 22, individual seals/bonds between: 1) the inner and outer films at the first side, 2) the inner and outer films at the second side and 3) the inner film of the second side and the outer film of the first side is a new issue that would require more than nominal consideration. Furthermore, claiming such sealing/bonding as individual elements raises the issue of new matter because it is unclear if applicant ever intended the back seal portion (9) to formed from three, individual seals/bonds.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The cancellation of claims 1, 11 and 12 overcomes the rejection of those claims under 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103.